

## PLANNING COMMITTEE LIST 14 SEPTEMBER 2016

## COUNCILLOR REPRESENTATION

From: Tracey Hill Sent: 06 July 2016 3:32 PM To: Luke Austin Cc: Caroline Penn Subject: BH2016/02069 42 Hawkhurst Road

Dear Luke

I would like to write to object to this planning application, and ask that if the delegated decision is to grant that it be decided at Committee.

There is currently another application for conversion to an HMO at the property next door, number 44 (BH2016/02089). A previous planning application at number 44 suggested that there were 2 other HMOs within a 50m radius of number 44, which was 8% of households. One more HMO within the 50m radius would therefore put the percentage above 10%. If number 44 is granted, it should therefore be the case that number 42 is refused due to density – and vice versa. It should not be the case that both applications are approved because only more property in the area would put the density of HMOs up to the level defined in CP21.

There may also be other HMOs in the immediate area. 61, 21, 29, 31, 41, 69, 73 are all in the HMO register. Just one more HMO within 50m would mean that both applications should be refused.

A development of such size would also dominate houses behind, which are set at a lower level.

Best wishes

Tracey

Tracey Hill Labour and Co-operative Councillor for Hollingdean and Stanmer ward Deputy Chair of Housing & New Homes Committee Lead Councillor for Private Rented Sector Housing Brighton and Hove City Council 01273 291437